PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

J'D	1 3 OCT	2004	
WIP	<u> </u>	PCT	٢

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

(Rationalised Report according to				7
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
152885 PCT	International filing date (day)	month(vear) P	Priority date (day month year)	┨
International application No.			17/11/2002	
PCT/IL03/00943	11/11/2003		17/11/2002	\dashv
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC			
·	F24F3/14			-
Applicant				1
AGAM ENERGY SYSTEMS LTD.	et al.			
	of 2 sheets, including	ng this cover sheet. s of the description, s containing rectific	, claims and/or drawings which have ations made before this Authority	
These annexes consists of a total				
3. This report contains indications re				1
			•	l
II Priority III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step and	industrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity of inver V X Reasoned statement u citations and explanat		o novelty, inventive	step or industrial applicability;	
VI Certain documents cit	e d			
	international application			
L	on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	D	ate of completion o		
14/06/2004		06/10/2	2004 Salah Patentame	٠.
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	1	uthorized officer	, a	7,0
European Patent Office	P	LUGGE H B	ta VIII	
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5' Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	23656 epmu d	'el. (+49-89) 2399 2		130
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P204	76 (October 2002)		Sedone Buropse	, .





PCT/IL03/00943

i. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).